



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vogoria 22313-1450
www.uspfo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/689,503	10/09/2003	Donald A. Tomalia	60208D	4273	
109 7	1590 06/03/2005		EXAMINER		
	HEMICAL COMPANY	PE	YOON, TAE H		
P. O. BOX 196	JAL PROPERTY SECTION	OIPE 40gg	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ΛΙ 48641-1967	1 2006 K	1714		
		1 2	DATE MAILED: 06/03/200	s	
		AMAN TRADEMENT			
		TO TRADENT			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Арр	olicant(s)	
	10/689,503	TOM	MALIA ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art	Unit	
	Tae H. Yoon	1714	4	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE			6	TPE
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(1.136(a). In no event, how reply within the statutory mi fied will apply and will expire state, cause the application	nimum of thirty (30) days will be SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma o become ABANDONED (35)	e considered timely. illing date of this communication U.S.C. § 133).	AR 2 1 2
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>1 April 2005</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-fir	al.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.	G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		ration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) 1.4.5.8-12.15 and 18 is/are rejected	ed.			
7) Claim(s) 2, 3, 6, 7, 13, 14, 16 and 17 is/are	objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election require	ement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ :	accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Exam	niner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held	l in abeyance. See 37 0	CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	· ·			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the	e attached Office Action	on or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	ust of the certified c	opies not received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/08) 5) <u> </u>	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Other:		
S. Paters and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	Part of F	Paper No./Mail Date 2005053	 1 7L

٠.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,503

Art Unit: 1714

The priority data claimed by applicant are acknowledged, and thus the prior art having a filing date later than September 5, 2005 have been withdrawn.

Applicant's statement that "The Dow Corning Corporation" and "The Dow Chemical Company" are distinct and separate entity from each other has a merit and thus double patenting rejection is also withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

This is new matter rejection. The examiner could not find support for claim 18 at col. 8, lines 32-35 contrary to applicant's statement (The specification does not contain columns, but pages).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,503

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 5 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tomalia et al (US 5,338,532).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record and following response.

Dendrimers complexed with a metal inherently meet the recited solubility since the same dendrimers and metals are used by Tomalia et al and the invention. There are more than 30 metals are taught by Tomalia et al at col. 8, and thus choosing said metals would be an anticipation.

Claims 1, 8-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Newkome et al (US 5,422,379).

Rejection is maintained for reason of record and following response.

Newkome et al do not have to teach the benefit that substantially insoluble metal compounds should be complexed with dendritic polymer that are soluble in the solvent as long as Newkome et al teach the instant dendrimers complexed with a metal since it is an inherent property.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,503

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 1, 8-12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over EP 0 684 044 A2.

EP teaches the instant metal-containing compound complexed with a dendrimer at page 4, lines 3 and 35-37 and page 5, lines 26-29. The use of fluorescent compounds is taught at page 5, lines 17-18 and page 11, line 15 wherein Na salt is seen. Said metal-containing compound complexed with a dendrimer would meet the recited solubility inherently given a particular solvent which is not required in the claim.

Claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 13, 14, 16 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1714

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

THY/May 31, 2005

## This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.